

January 20, 2014

REGULAR MEETING: The Regular Meeting of the Village of Honeoye Falls Board of Trustees was called to order by Mayor Milne at 7:00 p.m. with the Pledge.

TRUSTEES PRESENT: Trustee Worboys, Trustee Stottler, Trustee Pavelsky

TRUSTEE ABSENT: Trustee Alfieri

ALSO PRESENT: Greg Emerson, Mike Tobin & members of the public

Mayor Milne welcomed the people attending the meeting. Explained how the meeting would proceed. Identified the emergency exits. Asked everyone to respect each and everyone's comments. Each speaker is allowed three minutes. Mayor Milne said the Board would proceed with the regular session of the meeting until it was time to open the public hearing at 7:30pm.

MINUTES: Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Worboys to approve the minutes of December 16, 2013. Motion carried.

ABSTRACT NO. 8: Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Stottler that upon an audit of Abstract No.8 that approval be given for payment of the General Fund in the amount of \$89,731.61; Sewer Fund in the amount of \$19,311.35 to include vouchers #140658 - 140794. Motion carried.

MAYOR'S REPORT:

Attended the (State of The State) presentation in Albany (no cost to the village – went on my own). While the governor is working hard to make positive changes and has done so on the budget and fiscal state of the state, there seems to continue to be a big push towards consolidation of local governments to the detriment of the communities served. We (HF-Mendon- Lima and the school district are good examples of trying to work together where it makes sense and can promote fiscal responsibility and enhanced services.

Association of Villages dinner this past Saturday and it was a nice gathering with training on ethics. 10 attended from the village of HF.

NYCOM Legislative Action meeting to be held in Albany (February 9-10) – I will be attending and taking part. (If any trustees wish to go, you may find it interesting)

Met with Mark Peterson (Greater Rochester Enterprise) 1/20/14 (AM) and discussed the GM Building and business in general. Suggests we work with our PB/ZBA and staff to develop a quick plan to move development forward if the facility is sold and if a buyer is found to help the process move rapidly. (Offered to have his staff visit to discuss this and help us understand the very competitive nature of bringing in companies/businesses to fill this location and others.) Very interesting conversation.

Mayor Milne attended the State of the State address by Governor Cuomo in Albany.

Association of Villages Annual Dinner was the past weekend. John Mancini from NYCOM did an Ethics presentation.

TRUSTEE REPORTS

TRUSTEE STOTTLER: No report

TRUSTEE WORBOYS: No report

TRUSTEE PAVELSKY: Trustee Pavelsky attended the annual meeting of the Upstate New York Municipal Workers Compensation Program last week.

Chamber meeting this week and Mayor Milne will speak on the State of the Village and Supervisor Moffitt will speak on the State of the Town of Mendon.

**DEPARTMENT
REPORTS**

ADMINISTRATOR'S

REPORT:

Greg has submitted the grant application for the disinfection at the WWTP but hasn't heard anything back yet.

We are using up our budget for salt and snow removal. New dump trucks are working well. Redesigned the plowing routes and the plowing process has improved.

He is on the Workers Comp Steering Committee and there is a meeting on Thursday. Brian Anderson will attend the meeting with Greg.

Greg is evaluating the roads that need paving which will be done in April and May.

The final stages of the North Main Street sanitary sewer upgrade will be done in late July. This work involves working in the intersection at the 4 corners of Main Street.

The floor in the bathroom at the Museum has been completed.

Tree trimming and removal list has been prepared. Sending out for proposals to be considered at the February Board meeting.

Flowers are being ordered for the baskets along Main Street for the summer.

CLERK'S REPORT:

We are starting to prepare the 2014 – 2015 budget. Meeting with Greg, Brian, Gina, Judi and Joan next week.

OLD BUSINESS

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

Tuesday, February 4th at the Presbyterian Church there will be a public meeting to present the Comprehensive Plan draft. John Steinmetz will open the meeting with brief introduction. The group will then adjourn to Guild Hall to review various aspects of the sections of the Comprehensive Plan. The draft will be on Village website and Facebook page too.

**REVIEW OF
AMBULANCE DEPT.:**

Continue to have discussions on the Ambulance Dept. operations and look at different options although there are no plans to make any changes at this point. Our Ambulance is in a great place right now. As we look at costs of doing business this is a perfect time to look at options while we do not have to make any changes. Want to make sure we are providing the best ambulance service for our community at large.

**LOCAL LAW
190 – 84
UPDATE -
ACCESSORY
VEHICLES:**

Motion by Trustee Stottler, seconded by Trustee Worboys to schedule a public hearing on March 17, 2014 at 7:30pm to review the new Local Law #2 2014 190 – 84 Accessory Vehicles. Motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS

**SCHEDULE
2014 - 2015
BUDGET
WORKSHOPS:**

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Stottler to schedule 2014 – 2015 Budget Workshops on March 1, March 15 and March 29, 2014 at 7:30 am. Motion carried.

**MEDEX RATES
FOR 2014:**

Motion by Trustee Worboys, seconded by Trustee Pavelsky to approve the MedEx 2014 rates as presented. Motion carried.

**ANNUAL ALL
SEASONS
AGREEMENT:**

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Stottler to approve the 2014 Amendatory Agreements – All Seasons County/Town Work Agreements. Motion carried.

**PUBLIC HEARING
LOCAL LAW #1
OF 2014 –
RESTAURANTS WITH
DRIVE THRU**

SERVICES:

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Worboys to open the public hearing at 7:30pm. Motion carried.

The public notice was read by the Clerk.

Public comments were heard as follows:

AMY KENDALL – Attorney with Knauf Shaw LLP - We represent Honeoye Falls Community Association, Inc.

I want to point out that This hearing is being held on a national holiday and question the appropriateness of this and I am concerned that may have impacted some sensibility to attend in violation of the Open Meetings Law. I also want to point out that other meetings have been held with respect to this local law without providing appropriate advance notice, also in violation of the Open Meetings Law. We encourage the village board to comply with not only the letter but the spirit of the Open Meetings Law I also want to point out that the environmental review of this project I believe is deficient that the board has inadequately reviewed numerous environmental impacts as required under SEQR including noise and traffic, pollution including pollution and potential with issues with respect to litter for adjacent property owners. We also believe The board has not appropriately considered whether this proposed law is consistent with local zoning plans and community plans. We understand the village is in the process of preparing a comprehensive plan. This proposed law negates that process. Earlier the mayor indicated there would be ample time for the public to provide comments on the comprehensive plan. If the village board goes ahead and passes this law, there will be no opportunity to consider the proposed changes in conjunction with the comprehensive plan. I also want to mention that any postponement of an environmental review until consideration of an individual project is illegal under SEQR further any effort to push off the Board's responsibility to a later time and another entity is illegal under SEQR. I also want to mention that the proposed law constitutes illegal spot zoning. I request on behalf of the Honeoye Falls Community Association LLC that the Village Board just take a step back and conduct the appropriate environmental review for the proposed zoning change

DON OPPEDISANO – 11 Cheese Factory Road

I am in favor of amending the Village code to allow drive thrus in restaurants and ice cream stores in the General Commercial District. As a Honeoye Falls native and a resident and former business owner I have an affinity and passion for this Village. I think we all agree that the current look of the downtown is sad with many empty storefronts. While this district is not adjacent to the downtown; what we are really talking about is the future business vitality of this Village. If the Village code does not get changed to allow for drive thrus this Village will change and it will not be for betterment of the Village and the future of businesses in the downtown area.. I realize this is a small step but it is a step in the right direction for those of us who call Honeoye Falls our home. Therefore, I strongly urge the Village Board of Trustees to vote to allow the drive thru windows in the GC District.

AMOS SCULLY – 23 Locust Street

Can I just clarify something. Mayor Milne is this your proposal? Mayor Milne responded he brought it the Board of Trustees. Mr. Scully responded so its your proposal. That the Board is sort of following your lead on this. There a pole that was taken quite recently that how many people approved of the drive thrus and how many did not. Mayor Milne responded the results from the Comprehensive Plan Survey 47% were in favor of the drive thrus and 52% were against them of the surveys that were returned to the Village. Mr. Scully responded so the majority of the people were against it. Mayor Milne stated that the survey was not a vote but a public opinion survey. Mr. Scully asked if he was going against the survey. Mr. Milne said no he wasn't was just asked for a public opinion. You're putting a bill forward that is against what the people have spoken for. Mr. Scully asked what is spot zoning and does that apply to this proposed law. Mayor Milne responded it was a legal question and he would have to pass it off to the attorney representing the Village. Can you tell us what studies have you done in terms of the impact of this? Mayor Milne responded we would go thru the SEQR process. We have asked for input and looked at the areas that we want to try to move forward for development. Mr. Scully felt this is kind of sloppy on the Village's part.

SUE HOH – 217 East Street

I have been a resident for just over 40 years and the tone I am hearing is very adversarial. What I am not sure is it's a commercial district. Are they opposed to restaurants, drive thrus or exactly what people are opposed to. Once a project is brought up what I believe to be true then you do the SEQRs and everything else involved with it. In my opinion if we don't go forward with progress we're going to stay the same way forever. I am not opposed to the drive thrus. We have drive thrus for drug stores and banks. Just sorry there is so much uproar in the community about this. Don't live in a commercial district if you don't want traffic. I live on East Street and guess what I have...traffic. I really hope we can come to terms and be the happy Village with a progressive nature.

AMY HARRIS – 4 Livingston Street

Tonight I want to now is the time to examine some of the pro drive thru statements I have heard. One statement I heard was allowing drive thrus would create jobs here. I don't think we should use the word create though. I think we should use the word exchange. The jobs that will be created by the drive thru restaurant will be cancelled out by the jobs that will be lost when the existing café goes out of business or the drive thru goes out of business. Dunkin Donuts already considers us a borderline market based on their franchise parameters. Another argument I have heard is that the new drive thru will provide teens with a place to hang at. Aside from the fact that you can probably be doing a better job of finding a place for teens to hang out. How does a drive thru restaurant even remotely correlate to hanging out? The purpose of the drive thru is just that; to drive thru and then away. I have heard people say that we already have drive thrus at the bank and Rite Aid. So why not restaurants too. One reason is litter; people go to the bank drive thrus to make monetary transactions; to Rite Aid to pick up medications. They aren't going to fling their money or medicine out of their car windows. There is not a lot of packaging with these items either. That is not the fact with fast food. I also take issue with the sentiment from the first hearing that business begets business. The truth is that certain types of businesses begets certain types

of businesses. Take Henrietta, Applebee's begets Chili's begets Ruby Tuesday, etc. National chains that have no investment in the local community. On the other hand, in the Finger Lakes, locally owned wineries beget locally owned craft breweries, cheese shops and bed and breakfasts which benefit the community by keeping the dollars in local hands. Now the board has decided that in considering the character of the community they are only going take into account the General Commercial district. Not the rest of the community. The mindset here seems to be "Well the General Commercial District is already an eyesore so adding a few drive thrus won't change too much. This view is very limiting. If my neighbor down the street decided to open a nudist colony in his backyard and I said that changes the character of the community even if his property doesn't border mine. On a final note, in the US we are a democracy and citizens elect other citizens to be their advocates. We chooses those that will make decisions that will reflects our rights. In a preliminary survey 53% said no to drive thru fast food restaurants, yet 80% of you planned to say yes. Please consider that you are elected by people in this room to represent us. If you are making decisions that are the opposite of the desires of the majority of your constituents. Then who are you representing?

OCK HEE HALE – 2 Lehigh Street

My business is a retail shop and an art gallery. The artists come from all over. I do have a garden too. Any time groups of people, especially women come. I want to tell my story especially as a merchant. My customers come from outside the Village; from Buffalo, Clarence, Rochester, Webster and sometime overseas too. They ask where they can go for a cup of coffee and something to eat. I recommend the Rabbit Room, Enjoy and The Brewery. If they want just a coffee, I tell the Enjoy but that isn't open later in the day and evening.. We need some kind of middle way to provide our village with some hospitable environment so people can come and stay longer.

PAUL KAMMERMEIER – 55 Ontario Street

I have a couple of things I want to say. I think its absolutely true that there are some types of businesses that beget other

businesses. Not all businesses are that way. A lot of businesses can benefit from that. But in my mind those are not the type of businesses we want to try to draw. Nobody is going to come from Rochester to go thru the drive thru Dunkin Donuts in Honeoye Falls. The real point I would like to make is about zoning in general. Why do we have zoning laws? To me zoning laws represent the rules that we make to sort of qualify our ideas of what our village should look like; how it should feel, and how it should operate. Currently we have these ideas about what our village should look like, how it should feel and how it should operate. Therefore, we have zoning laws to protect those ideas. Now if the village board were going to say to use as citizens we have some new ideas, we have a new plan and this new plan is going to be great for the village but it necessitates some changes in our zoning laws to implement this new plan. If that happened, I would say that's great. I am all on board. But that's not what this is. This is not a change in the zoning laws because of some plan, its simply changing the rules of the village because somebody outside of our village and doesn't live here and doesn't have a business here says I don't like your rules because I don't think I can make enough money under those rules so change them for me. And as far as I can tell the board is saying yeah, OK. These rules are set by our people, they are meant to protect our people but if you want to change the, cool. I think that is backward. I would encourage the board to finish the Comprehensive Plan. Articulate what you think the village really should be first and then if you need to change the rules based on those ideas that's fine. I think I would be on board with that.

ROB MAUER – 51 Ontario Street

Section 190 – 45.3 of the general commercial district code states that district to define and preserve village character, protect pedestrian environments, reflect the scale and proportion of nonresidential buildings in the traditional village model, maintain an overall sense of community which makes the village unique and to be sensitive to the residential districts of the village. This code change is contrary to these stated purposes of the district. Some of the development already fails to meet all of these guidelines. A drive thru restaurant by a national chain would certainly fail. Previous failures do not excuse another. Drive thru restaurants are an example of low

density, horizontal suburban growth. Part of the problem with such growth is it provides less value to community than denser areas. To accommodate vehicles, such growth requires everything be scaled more for automobiles than for pedestrians. Encouraging people to drive from building to building when they could have parked and visited multiple locations instead. This development pattern is also costly. It will require more sidewalks, sewer, etc. It dilutes public infrastructure in addition to creating an environment that is unfriendly, and at least in the case of drive thru restaurants, designed to be places to leave when they could have been places to stay for a while. Having grown up in the middle of the suburbs, I was delighted when I found Honeoye Falls. A place that has been spared the poor suburban development decisions of the past years. Honeoye Falls is not a crossroads town either. This code change is contrary to the purposes stated in the code. It is contrary to the "village" history and identity. Also we are not desperate and should not rush into this while in the middle of the comprehensive plan process. Nor should the pollution, litter and other concerns of the residents be dismissed. We can and should do better. I ask that you do not pass this code change.

JOHN CHAFFER – 55 Plains Road

Yes, it is Martin Luther King Jr's birthday today and I have a dream. When I have to go out tonight at 9pm I would like to be able to buy a cup of coffee and maybe a slice of pie. It is impossible to do that here now. I'm also concerned about the legalese and the amount of money and time that is being spent to I guess you could use the word fight something as simple as putting a nice little drive thru restaurant in this town. As a kid, was the milk machine. I have been here since 1955. How many remember the milk machine? It was \$.25 and was located where York St meets Main St. We used dial telephones but we changed that and now have cordless and touchtone. I want to support the board for their checking out this and I support them wanting to have a drive thru restaurant. Frankly I am amazed. You think they were asking to have an adult book store there with the amount of controversy I have seen over this. I drive all over New York State. I was in a place called Red Creek in 2006 and back there again after the big stock market fall and I was shocked. That place was a ghost town. The problem is people are concerned about the

businesses. They need to step up their game and compete with Dunkin Donuts coming in here.

KYLENA MC GUNNIGLE – 50 East Street

Last January I attended a meeting about a comprehensive plan. At one point during the meeting, There was a survey. We were quickly assured that there were no drive thrus in the village and that the pictures were just being used for their design elements. Then in October I spoke to the board about the code change to allow drive thru. I naively thought the board would listen to the majority of their constituents said. I just wonder who 80% of the board is listening to. I know the board thinks they are acting in our best interests. They said they will limit the drive thrus to two in the general commercial district. Unfortunately, this is setting a president. There will be no way to stop the influx of fast food chains once that happens. Who is going to benefit from this anyway? Drive thru restaurants were considered forward thinking in 1950. Do you thinks it would \benefit our village to be known as one to drive thru or one to stop and enjoy a cup of coffee with a book.

KIM NEFF – 24 Sibley Road

Moved to Honeoye Falls in 2009. We had been looking for a place to raise our child for about two years. The think that brought us to this area was the fact that it was a local charming area that was mostly local owned businesses that were not franchises. We sought out the school district, this area because it was a quiet, quaint, charming area. Dipper Dan's was something that brought me back to my childhood. I grew up in a really small town that didn't have a lot of commercial areas. It reminds me of a place where I wouldn't be afraid to have my daughter walk down to the library or walk to Dipper Dan's with her friend. I don't want the drive thru just because it is that franchise. It takes from other local businesses ie Custom Brewcrafters, Rabbit Room, Enjoy, The Brewery, etc. The local businesses so that the money stays within this economy. The people that own businesses that we are supporting to send their children to college. The people that own the Dunkin Donuts, where is that money going? I feel that it will take away from the character of this charming village.

DAN HARRIS – 4 Livingston Street

When we met last and talked about this there was quite a division in the room about those who spoke on the issue. Those that were for the change saw this as something to meet economic growth. Those that were against argued that the character of the village would be compromised by the code change. This town has two centers now; the general commercial district and the four corners. How compatible would any kind of code change to these areas. I hope we can agree on two things. That improvement to the commercial areas of this town will be fueled by commerce. In the past the center of the town supported some store fronts. The GC district more desirable for new businesses even before this code change and the comprehensive plan will be even more desirable with this code change. What I am afraid of is we could start to experience less business in the center of town.

ERIC SMITH – 57 Ontario Street

I am a relative short term resident of the village; only about 6 years but my wife chose to buy a house in the village in the historic district. We're choosing to raise three kids in the village. Out of respect for people who disagree with my position I am not going to get too upset but there has been a lot of borderline things that I have read online and heard around town about short term members of this village. I have just as much right to stand up here and talk to the village officials as any of the long term residents in the village. I just wanted to point that out. Make sure that everybody knows that us short term have just as much a right to speak as long term residents. In my opinion fast food drive thru restaurants will dilute the character of this town. Fast food is not the answer if we're looking for economic development, commercial development, there is plenty of other ideas floating around. In my opinion, fast food drive thru is not the way to go. It's not the future and it's not what my family and I came here to see. I respectfully request a no code change vote.

MARY SLOZECK – 45 Brigham Circle

I am the Planning Board Chair. I came here tonight not really expecting to speak as I had spoken at the last with some prepared words. But after sitting and listening to some things I decided that I really should get up and say a few things. Number one regarding the results of the survey.

My understanding of the survey is was not a vote. I also know for a fact that there were some residents that did not receive them in the mail. That was my neighborhood. I did let the Village Office know but once it goes to the post office there is nothing you can do. We were able to get it online. It was not a vote so whether its 52% or 48% it is guidance. I am thinking about the last time I spoke about the code change you are considering and the strict guidelines that are there in addition to the character code that is part of the village code that allows the Planning Board quite a bit of power as far as guidance and the architecture of the building. There are regulations as to the size of the building, where the drive thru lanes would be if this was passed. The center of the village, that flair, in my opinion, does not go out to the general commercial district at this point. When we were presented as the planning board with a concept design all five members spoke very clearly that it is our intent that any building that goes on the site in the GC district would have the historic traditional look that the downtown village has. I hate to say this publically, but now I have been in the village nearly 50 years and things have changed over the years. I don't think I would be happy if things were now like they were 50 years ago. Although it was wonderful then, I liked walking down to the drug store and buying penny candy. But I certainly enjoy being here now and raising my children in the village. Change is necessary. That is what is going to keep us going.

KRISTY DRYDEN – 47 Maplewood Avenue

I wholeheartedly agree with everyone who says they would like to get a cup of coffee at 9:30pm or on a Sunday morning but Dunkin Donuts isn't what I have in mind. So bringing that up, a lot of us to have a better coffee shop but that doesn't really pertain to this issue of drive thrus. I wanted to address the question of what our objections are to this. On a personal level for me, I am not opposed to drive thrus in general. I love drive thrus. For mom's who have babies who fall asleep on the way home and want to stop for a cup of coffee, that's great. But we don't need to have a drive thru in our pedestrian area defined by the sidewalks. I walk, I bike with my kids out to Dipper Dan's. Sometimes to the grocery store. There are driveways there

but the traffic isn't unmanageable and I am concerned about what it is going to be like trying to drive back and forth there if we have a successful drive thru in that spot. I am also concerned about what's going to happen if it turns out that their business planning didn't take into account the loss of General Motors and we end up with a vacant drive thru in that spot. I am also concerned about the way that this has been done. About putting the cart before the horse. The comprehensive plan is within range its coming out any minute. We keep hearing its coming soon. Its all so close. Its within reach that it is going to be happening that trying to make this change right now really feels like the only reason this is happening is because there is money behind it with one business saying do this for me and that's not the way a government is supposed to be.

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Stottler to close the public hearing at 8:20pm. Motion carried.

MAYOR MILNE:

I would like to thank all of those who have spoken tonight and also those who spoke at the public hearing in October. Serving as mayor for 9 years and as a Trustee for 5 years before that has been a privilege. I have always tried to be upfront with those I served and with those I served with. Sometimes more successfully than others but we have tried continually to upgrade and enhance the way we get information out to our residents. Most people have told us that we have done a great job in that but as we all know, we can't please everyone although it should be a goal to do so and we do try. Every email received, every letter received, every phone call that I have received has been read, listened to and talked to. Every piece of correspondence, aside from Facebook have been carefully thought of and in many cases responded to. Certainly, for varying reasons, there were some that were not responded to. But all were reviewed one way or another. Certainly the topic of the code change has been a difficult topic to move through. There are some that have said, look at the community divided. But there are also some that have said to me, no the community is not divided, they are simply a small group of folks adamantly against the change, and a small group adamantly for it, the rest are OK one way or the other. I don't know if that is one hundred percent correct but from the many people I have spoken with that sounds about right. The Village Board is elected to conduct the

business of the village. Any board should do its best to listen to its residents and business owners. In some instances the topic is such that it is easy to do and the answer is very clear. However, in many the answer is not so clear. It is the village board's duty to review the topic at hand, deliberate and then make the best it can to move to a resolution. Again, I believe we are trying to do that. There have been many topics discussed over the past few months. Impact to village character; I did not see where a drive thru restaurant will negatively impact our village character and I believe this addition would actually improve the character of the current GC district. Distracted drivers and pedestrian safety; I don't see a connection there either when all development practices are followed. Increase in traffic, I believe that any new business would have the potential to increase traffic. Generally with a new development, a traffic study is often completed when the developer goes thru the process with the Planning Board. We also have codes in place to address noise and like concerns and much more. I walk the village many evenings each week. I have picked up litter from many businesses throughout the community. Again with any additional businesses or growth the concern of litter can always increase and that is something we have to be cognoscenti of and address. I do not believe this will negatively impact the current businesses. That comes from the discussions I have had with some of the businesses you are concerned with. I want to continue to promote the economic vitality of our community in general. I want to see our empty storefronts filled with attractive shops that will promote both local ownership and ownership from outside the community. I believe that if we continue to develop the general commercial district and also the MUCLID district we will have a good support structure and also develop and fill our empty storefronts in the village business district. I look forward to moving forward in a path that would indeed do this. I believe this code change does need to move forward and I have not seen anything to sway this opinion at this time.

TRUSTEE PAVELSKY: As a trustee and also the Deputy Mayor of the Village of Honeoye Falls I appreciate the active involvement of the citizens in this proposed project. At the last public meeting we voted on the law. Tonight I believe we will take the comments that have been made at this meeting and and

probably postpone any vote allowing sufficient time for further consideration. Many of the issues that have been presented around the environment and lifestyle consideration would be dealt with by the Village Planning Board when and if a business presents a viable proposal. Thank you all for your input.

TRUSTEE WORBOYS

What I have heard tonight hasn't really changed my opinion particularly. I kind of agree with people who spoke for the change versus the ones against. I think their concerns are inflated to some degree. I have lived here since 1950 and I do not think the code change would affect the character of Honeoye Falls a whole heck of a lot. I might just point out too that everybody seems to be very satisfied with the way the village is now and its due to the fact that this board and all the boards previous take pretty good care of the changes that have been made and continue to do so. Personally, I just don't believe this particular change is all that critical. Its kind of a benign change. I do appreciate the interest from everybody. I am willing to chat with anybody offline anytime and discuss it further.

TRUSTEE STOTTLER

I too want to express my appreciation for everyone that came out this evening. Also emails, phone calls, conversations in the grocery store, parking lots, at the schools, everywhere. That has helped me a lot because it helped me understand your concerns and questions. One thing I will say that I know that there is concern that this issue has divided us up a bit but what I have seen is that residents and business owners are very passionate about this community and I think that is a very positive thing. That's something we cannot legislate or buy, its part of who we are. What I would hope is that we could find somebody that can harness this passion and energy to move the community to the next level of where we want to be. We want to keep Honeoye Falls unique but we also want to see if we can become more of a destination. I think Och Kee's business, CBs. There are certain businesses that attract people from other communities to come and visit us and I think we would like to encourage more of that and I'm not certain that a drive thru is in that vein. One comment that was brought up a few times is regarding the GC district and its lack of attractiveness for lack of a better term and that any new development would be an improvement and that's a fair statement. Regardless of the

decision and the outcome of the vote for this law, I would encourage us as a board to continue to look at that district and look at what we can do to make it more attractive. To make it more pedestrian and bike friendly. I appreciate that the planning board mentioned that any new structures would be more in keeping with our traditional development. Its unfortunate that some time over the last 50 years we didn't necessarily go in that direction but as somebody said earlier, two wrongs don't make a right so lets try to correct what we can correct. Personally, I would prefer that we wait for the conclusion of the comprehensive plan. I encourage everyone to come to the comprehensive plan public review and give us your opinions and thoughts about the work that has been done and anything that you think we have missed. What we hear from residents that pedestrian friendly is very very important. Biking, walking is very crucial. And that's a concern that I have had going forward with this sort of a code change. I am not in favor of this change because I would like to see the comprehensive plan completed.

**CHATFIELD
ENGINEERING
PROPOSAL:**

Motion by Trustee Worboys, seconded by Trustee Pavelsky to approve the proposal from Chatfield Engineering for the Village of Honeoye Falls NYS Route 65 Sidewalk Project Engineering Services Proposal. Motion carried.

**CLOVER MEADOWS
PUMP STATION
DEDICATION:**

Motion by Trustee Stottler, seconded by Trustee Pavelsky to schedule a public hearing on February 17, 2014 at 7:30pm to register the dedication of the Clover Meadows Pump Station to the Village of Honeoye Falls. Motion carried.

**HIRE ALS TECH
FOR AMBULANCE
DEPT.**

Will advertise in various areas for an ALS Tech for the Ambulance Dept. Greg Emerson and Ed Sheidlower will conduct the interviews.

**NEW AMBULANCE
VOLUNTEER:**

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Worboys to approve Timothy Heywood as a new volunteer member

of the Honeoye Falls Mendon Volunteer Ambulance.
Motion carried.

**NEW PLANNING
/ ZONING**

SECRETARY:

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Stottler to approve hiring Deb Shaughnessy as the new Planning / Zoning Secretary. Motion carried.

**APPROVE MONROE
COMMUNITY BLOCK
GRANT 2014:**

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Stottler to approve the Application for the 2014 Monroe Community Block Grant. This grant application is for an extension of the sidewalk on Papermill Street. Motion carried.

ADJOURN:

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Worboys to adjourn to Executive Session at 8:45pm. Motion carried.

ADJOURN:

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Worboys to return to Regular Session at 8:59pm. Motion carried.

**PER DIEM
SALARY
INCREASES:**

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Stottler to approve the salary rate increase for the Per Diem employees as recommended by Village Administrator Greg Emerson. Motion carried.

ADJOURN:

Motion by Trustee Pavelsky, seconded by Trustee Worboys to adjourn at 9:00pm. Motion carried.